



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/620,883 | 07/16/2003 | Michael A. Pickering | 51163-2 DIV | 9094 | |
| 7590 04/15/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| John J. Piskorski c/o EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP | | | ABRAHAM, FETSUM | | |
| , | | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| P.O. Box 9169 | | | 2826 | - | |
| Boston, MA (|)2209 | | DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | _ | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Commons | 10/620,883 | | PICKERING, MICHAEL | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | ~~) | | | |
| | Fetsum Abraham | 2826 | n' | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 1 | | | | | |
| , | ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up | | | e merits is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application Papers | ithdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex | | utha Evaminar | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for | uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | □ | (570 445) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) | 4) ∐ Interview Su 48) Paper No(s). | ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | ormal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |

Double Patenting

Claims 1-40 of this application conflict with claims 1-40 of Application No. 10/000,975. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-40 of prior U.S. Patent No. 10/000,975. This is a double patenting rejection.

Although the current application is a DIVISION of 10/0000,975, the claims however are identical.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 2826

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to PN: 6,586,886.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached at 571-272-1915.

Fetsum Abraham

4/12/04